UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,199	07/13/2007	Yoshiyuki Kanzaki	0702-000002/US/NP	7336
27572 7590 11/23/2009 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 828	•	LOPEZ, FRANK D		
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/593,199	KANZAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	F. DANIEL LOPEZ	3745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Augu	et 13 2000					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>August 13, 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
·=	<i>,</i> —					
•	7— 1					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>6-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 6-9 is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/593,199 Page 2

Art Unit: 3745

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed August 13, 2009, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-9 have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. The new grounds of rejection are necessitated by the added limitations that the third section contacts a clutch (claim 6 line 15).

Applicant argues that the reinforcement member (13) of Japan 2003-42185 extends radially into the root of the lip 12a; but does not extend axially from the third section (11b) into the root. The examiner disagrees. The reinforcement member (13) extends radially from the second section into the root of the lip 12a; and extends axially from the third section into the root. The axial extension appears to be smaller than the radial extension, but nonetheless, there is axial extension.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Japan 2003-42185. Japan 2003-42185 discloses a piston (11, fig 2) axially movable in an annular hole comprising a piston main body including a second axial section extending axially from an outer diameter end section of a first radial section; a third section (11b) extending in a radially outward direction from an end section on a side of the axial section and contacting a clutch (5a); a reinforcement member (13) provided on the outer periphery of and fitted over an entire area of the axial section; a sealing member (11a) provided on the reinforcement member, having a seal lip (12a) for sealing the outer peripheral side surface of the annular hole; wherein the reinforcement member includes a section that extends to a root of the seal lip; and an inner peripheral sealing member

Application/Control Number: 10/593,199 Page 3

Art Unit: 3745

(in fig 3), sealing an inner peripheral surface side of the annular hole; wherein either the sealing member and the inner peripheral sealing member are integrally formed on the main body, after the reinforcement member is fitted to the main body or where the sealing member is formed integrally on the reinforcement member and the reinforcement member is fitted to the main body after the integral forming (it is not clear which is how it is formed).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Lopez whose telephone number is 571-272-4821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:00 AM -4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Look, can be reached on 571-272-4820. The fax number for this group is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Help Desk, whose telephone number is 1-800-PTO-9199.

IF. Daniel Lopezl

F. Daniel Lopez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3745 November 20, 2009